

**CHAOTIC DARK MATTER**RAGHAVAN RANGARAJAN<sup>(a)</sup>

and

MARK SREDNICKI<sup>(b)</sup>*Department of Physics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

ABSTRACT: A very weakly coupled scalar field with mass  $m$  and initial vacuum expectation value  $V$  will provide enough mass to close the universe provided  $V \simeq (3 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV})(100 \text{ GeV}/m)^{1/4}$ . We discuss possible models in which such a field could arise.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

---

<sup>(a)</sup> Electronic address (internet): raghu@pcs3.ucsb.edu.

<sup>(b)</sup> Electronic address (internet): mark@tpau.physics.ucsb.edu.

Particle physics has provided a number of plausible dark matter candidates, most notably massive but light neutrinos [1], the lightest supersymmetric particle [2–4], and the axion [5–7]. These last two would be cold dark matter, the most plausible type. (For a review of dark matter, see Ref. [8].) The axion is especially interesting; a closure density of axions does not arise from thermal processes (as would be expected in a hot early universe), but rather from a coherent state of particles which approximate a classical field with a definite value. Thermal effects do play an important role, however. At very high temperatures, the axion field has no potential energy, and so assumes an arbitrary initial vacuum expectation value (VEV)  $V$ , determined by the details of the initial conditions. In most models of early cosmology, this arbitrary value is essentially random and unpredictable; all we know is that it lies on a circle of circumference  $2\pi f$ , where  $f$  is the axion decay constant, related to the axion mass  $m_a$  via  $m_a \sim (100 \text{ MeV})^2/f$ . As the temperature drops, however, a potential turns on (proportional to  $T^{-8}$ ), and eventually the axion field begins to oscillate about the minimum determined by the potential. The contribution  $\Omega$  of these coherent axions to the mass density of the universe (in units of the critical density) is given by [5–7]

$$\Omega h^2 \sim 0.3 \left( \frac{V}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m_a}{10^{-5} \text{ eV}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $h$  is the current value of the Hubble parameter in units of  $100 \text{ km/s} \cdot \text{Mpc}$ . (For a more detailed analysis, see Ref. [9].) Because the initial axion VEV  $V$  lies on a circle of radius  $f$ , it is likely that  $V \sim f$ . Putting this into eq.(1) and using the relation between  $f$  and  $m_a$  gives an upper limit on  $f$  of about  $10^{12} \text{ GeV}$ .

In this paper we examine the case of a scalar field with mass  $m$  and VEV  $V$  which we imagine to be so weakly coupled that thermal effects can be neglected entirely. We find that the contribution of such a field to the mass density of the universe is

$$\Omega h^2 \sim 0.7 \left( \frac{V}{3 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

Note that  $\Omega \sim V^2 m^{1/2}$ , in contrast to the  $V^2 m$  dependence in the case of the axion. While this formula is easy to derive, to our knowledge it has never appeared in the literature.

Are such fields likely to occur? We note that string theory (with four uncompactified dimensions) always has at least four massless scalar particles (the string dilaton, the breathing mode of the compact dimensions, and two partners demanded by supersymmetry) [10]. These particles can get mass only after supersymmetry is broken. It is widely anticipated that the spontaneous breakdown of  $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$  will turn out to be related

to supersymmetry breaking, yielding natural masses of order the weak interaction scale,  $\sim 100$  GeV, for these scalars. However, there is no reason to believe that these scalars will emerge from the early universe (after a period of inflation, say) at the minima of the potentials generated by supersymmetry breaking. They may have large, arbitrary VEVs.

Indeed, a very similar proposal has been made by Linde [11], but to provide inflation rather than dark matter. Linde envisions a region of space in which a scalar field with mass  $m$  happens to have a large VEV  $V$ , large enough that the stored energy density dominates over that of all other particles and fields. This energy density drives inflation. Linde refers to this as “chaotic inflation,” since the field takes on a chaotic pattern of values throughout the universe. We envision a similar scenario, except that we assume the energy density stored in our field happens to be much less than the thermal energy of ambient hot particles, which we imagine were produced by reheating after a period of inflation. Our field does not drive inflation, but it does provide for dark matter. Given the similarity to chaotic inflation, we refer to this scenario as chaotic dark matter.

Of course, to be a dark matter candidate our particles must be stable or nearly so, with a decay rate no larger than the Hubble parameter. Also, they must be self-coupled weakly enough to avoid efficient transfer of energy out of the coherent state. As we will see, this latter constraint is not a problem, but the former is an impediment to identifying our field as the dilaton or one of its cousins. We would generically expect a dilaton with mass  $m$  to have a decay rate of order  $m^3/m_{\text{Pl}}^2$ , where  $m_{\text{Pl}} = 1.2 \times 10^{19}$  GeV is the Planck mass. (This is because the dilaton should couple with gravitational strength to all other fields.) Requiring this to be less than the Hubble parameter translates into  $m \lesssim 100$  MeV, a factor of a thousand smaller than the weak interaction scale. We will not attempt to solve this dilemma here; like the field which is hypothesized to drive chaotic inflation, our field need not be one of the string fields mentioned above. Producing a closure density with a mass of 100 MeV requires a VEV of  $2 \times 10^9$  GeV.

We now turn to demonstrating eq.(2). For the most part we can follow the analysis originally used for the axion [5–7]. The equation of motion for our field  $\varphi$  is

$$\ddot{\varphi} - R^{-2}\nabla^2\varphi + 3(\dot{R}/R)\dot{\varphi} + m^2\varphi = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $R(t)$  is the scale factor;  $R \sim t^{1/2}$  when the universe is radiation dominated and  $R \sim t^{2/3}$  when the universe is matter dominated. We take the initial value of  $\varphi(\vec{x}, t)$  to be  $V$  everywhere; possible spatial inhomogeneities will be treated later. Until the age  $t$  of the universe is comparable to the period  $1/m$  of the oscillations, the field  $\varphi$  will not have

had enough time to change significantly, and hence remains frozen at  $\varphi(t) = V$ . Once  $t$  becomes of order  $1/m$ , however,  $\varphi(t)$  begins to oscillate. Call the temperature at this time  $T_1$ . Afterward, the time-averaged energy density in the field is  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2$ , with  $\varphi(t) = V$  when  $T = T_1$ . This energy density decreases like the energy density of particles with zero momentum; that is, it decreases as  $1/R(t)^3$ , or equivalently as  $T^3$ , where  $T$  is the temperature. We thus find

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}m^2V^2(T_0/T_1)^3, \quad (4)$$

where  $T_0 = 2.75 \text{ K}$  is the present temperature. We compute  $T_1$  from the usual time-temperature relation,  $t = m_{\text{Pl}}/(\gamma T^2)$ , where  $\gamma = 34.3$  in the standard model and  $\gamma = 49.4$  in the supersymmetric standard model. Using  $t = 1/m$  and  $\gamma = 34.3$  we find

$$T_1 \sim (6 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}) \left( \frac{m}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

Putting this into eq.(4) and dividing by the critical density  $\rho_c = 3H^2/8\pi G$  yields eq.(2), our central result.

We shall now consider self interactions of the  $\varphi$  field. In keeping with the idea that its potential is generated by supersymmetry breaking, we parameterize the interactions as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\varphi) = -m^2 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \lambda_n m_{\text{Pl}}^{-(n-2)} \varphi^n \quad (6)$$

where the  $\lambda_n$  are dimensionless numbers, assumed to be of order one. (Derivative interactions could also be included, and would lead to similar results.) These interactions will allow energy to be transferred out of the zero-momentum particles and into particles with relativistic energies; the energy will then red-shift away faster, like  $T^4$  instead of  $T^3$ , for as long as the particles remain relativistic.

Following the analysis of Ref. [6] for the axion, we consider a perturbation of the form

$$\varphi(\vec{x}, t) = A(t) \cos(mt) + A_k(t) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \quad (7)$$

where  $A_k$  is treated as infinitesimal. Substituting in eq.(3) and taking  $R$  and  $A$  to be approximately constant, we get

$$\ddot{A}_k(t) + [(k/R)^2 + m^2 + 6\lambda_3 m^2 (A/m_{\text{Pl}}) \cos(mt) + \dots] A_k(t) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where the ellipses stand for the higher order terms in eq.(6). These will be suppressed by additional powers of  $A/m_{\text{Pl}}$ , and can be neglected. Eq.(8) is Mathieu's equation. For

certain bands of values of  $k$ ,  $A_k$  grows exponentially. This would mean that there is an instability which could pump energy into high momentum modes. However, as noted above, we need only be concerned with modes for which  $k \gg m$ , and these modes account for an exponentially small range of values of  $k$  at large  $k$ . Thus the unstable modes do not have enough time to grow significantly before they are redshifted into the regime of stable wavenumbers. Therefore, just as for the axion, this effect can be ignored.

To conclude, we have shown that a coherent scalar field with mass  $m$  and initial VEV  $V$  contributes to the mass density of the universe according to eq.(2). A field with  $m \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$  and  $V \sim 3 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$  would provide a critical density of cold dark matter. Such a field might arise in certain string models, but generically the corresponding particles would have lifetimes that are too short unless the mass is reduced to  $m \lesssim 100 \text{ MeV}$ .

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY-86-14185.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Gershtein and Ya. B. Zel'dovich, *JETP Lett.* **4** (1966) 174.
- [2] H. Goldberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 1419.
- [3] L. M. Krauss, *Nucl. Phys.* **B227** (1983) 556.
- [4] J. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, and M. Srednicki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B238** (1984) 453.
- [5] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Lett.* **B120** (1983) 127.
- [6] L. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *Phys. Lett.* **B120** (1983) 133.
- [7] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *Phys. Lett.* **B120** (1983) 137.
- [8] Particle Physics and Cosmology: Dark Matter, ed. M. Srednicki (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
- [9] M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (1986) 889.
- [10] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* **B155** (1985) 151.
- [11] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **129B** (1983) 177.